





Defending Olympus

Mitigating a Sustained 540gb/sec DDoS Attack Campaign

Tony Scheid <tscheid@arbor.net> Senior Consulting Engineer

- Considerable political turmoil in Brazil over the last 18 months
- DDoS attacks against organizations perceived to be supporting one faction or another
- LizardStresser IoT botnet variant joined the fray in late March/early April 2016 – immediately began launching DNS, ntp, SSDP reflection/amplification attacks, layer-3/-4 and layer-7 attacks (Slowloris, etc.)
- Layer-7 attacks also pushed through Tor anonymizer network

- Attack volumes reached 200gb/sec+ in the run-up to the Olympics
- Several of the same organizations targeted (we think) due to political turmoil also affiliated with the Rio Olympics in various ways
- Attackers doubled down during the Olympics!
- Olympics had a significant impact on Internet traffic patterns ingressing/egressing/traversing
 Brazilian networks, even without the large-scale
 DDoS attacks

Total Traffic – City of Rio de Janeiro



Page 4

Total Netflix - Brazil

The picture can't



Total Traffic – Brazil (Internal)



Total Traffic Brazil – Opening Ceremony



~20% traffic decrease during the Opening Ceremony

Content Providers x Rio

The picture can't b



~250% traffic increase during the Games

Google x Rio

The picture can't be



Netflix x Rio



Traffic Pattern Changed due visitor country timezone

Facebook x International Servers



DDoS Attacks During the Games

8 The picture can'

Coordinated Campaigns	 Anonymous, LizardSquad, PoodleCorp Crafted tools using Javascript and ToR network Social Media to recruit participants
Application Attacks	 Slowloris – HTTP Slow request Ack-Psh Flood Http-Get requests
Volumetric Attacks	 + 200gb/sec average attacks – UDP reflection/amplification 540gb/sec sustained peak attack
Techniques Used	 GRE Encapsulated Attacks (bypass ACL/Filters) ACK-Flood UDP reflection/amplification methods – UDP/80, UDP/443, UDP/179 ICMP echo request – good old ping-flooding!

Rio 2016 – Hacking Campaign



Anonymous Brasil

Agora você também pode nos aj os passos abaixo e bem vindo a

Esse programa foi desenvolvido sistema windows, lembrando qu necessário o uso de vpn, pois já a rede tor.

Tutorial:

1 - Acesse

https://www.torproject.org/dist/1 6.0.2/torbrowser-install-6.0.2_p1 instale o navegador TOR

2 - Acesse

http://www.megafileupload.com ympddos.rar e baixe o arquivo opolympddos.rar (link atualizado 3 - Execute o TOR Browser e ag mensagem de que ele está ativo 4 - Abra o arquivo opolympddos

4 - Abra o arquivo opolympddos depois abra ddos.exe

5 - Clique nos botões com o endereço do site para "Atacar". Uma janela do CMD será

mensagem de que ele está ativo. 4 - Abra o arquivo opolympddos.rar, e depois abra ddos.exe

5 - Clique nos botões com o endereço do site para "Atacar". Uma janela do CMD será aberta.

6 - Quanto mais vezes clicar no botão, mais janelas de ataque serão abertas.
7 - Divirta-se indo jantar/viajar/trabalhar enquanto seu computador faz todo trabalho de forma anônima e segura.



'age 13

Anonymous Brasil retweetou
Anonymous Center @AnonymousCenter - 39 min

Anonymous DpOlympicHacking ideo: youtu.be/KU1Z5T-vFE4 et The Games Begin. astebin: Pastebin.com/WTN6J1Qh

THE COST OF FIFA WORLD CUP IS BEING PAID...

...AND THEY ALREADY WANT US TO PAY THE NEXT BILL.





Telnet Traffic – IoT botnet growing

The picture can't be



Max

Telnet Traffic by Country – Matches Attack SRC

The picture can't be



Max

APPLICATION	COUNTRY	IN	OUT	TOTAL (IN + OUT)
X telnet	Korea, Republic of	671.93 Mbps	23.74 Mbps	695.67 Mbps
X telnet	United States	24.14 Mbps	160.09 Mbps	184.22 Mbps
X telnet	China	23.36 Mbps	52.95 Mbps	76.31 Mbps
X telnet	Japan	29.27 Mbps	32.55 Mbps	61.82 Mbps
X telnet	Brazil	14.40 Mbps	19.34 Mbps	33.74 Mbps

Captured Netis Router Bot Installation (IoT Botnet Participant)



Observed GRE DDoS attack

- Frame 1: 592 bytes on wire (4736 bits), 592 bytes captured (4736 bits)
- Ethernet II, Src: CiscoInc_e5:47:09 (64:12:25:e5:47:09), Dst: ArborNet_a0:ca:c0 (00:50:49:a0:ca:c0)
- Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 247, Dst: 2.77
- Generic Routing Encapsulation (Transparent Ethernet bridging)
 - Flags and Version: 0x0000
 Protocol Type: Transparent Ethernet bridging (0x6558)
- Ethernet II, Src: 77:e7:b5:c8:52:6c (77:e7:b5:c8:52:6c), Dst: 92:bf:07:08:7c:a1 (92:bf:07:08:7c:a1)
- Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 17, Dst: .28
- User Datagram Protocol, Src Port: 34109 (34109), Dst Port: 17880 (17880)
- Data (512 bytes)
 - Data: b9709c7211c10b6d31cd5f4264e108297e15d990f239ef24...
 - [Length: 512]

0000	00	50	49	a0	са	с0	64	12	25	e5	47	09	0 8	00	45	00	.PId. %.GE.
0010	02	42	56	86	40	00	30	2f	c4	01	b7	fc	14	f7	c8	c4	.BV.@.0/
0020	98	4d	00	00	65	58	92	bf	07	0 8	7c	a1	77	e7	b5	c8	.MeX .w
0030	52	6c	08	00	45	00	02	1c	91	7d	40	00	40	11	45	35	RlE}@.@.E5
0040	40	6e	7f	11	6c	83	36	1c	85	3d	45	d8	02	08	85	51	@nl.6=EQ
0050	b9	70	9c	72	11	c1	0b	6d	31	cd	5f	42	64	e1	0 8	29	.p.rm 1Bd)
0060	7e	15	d9	90	f2	39	ef	24	3d	a6	46	e6	b0	e9	37	40	~9.\$ =.F7@
0070	ca	3f	6f	80	6e	5b	d0	06	a3	1f	e3	73	91	7e	db	bb	.?o.n[s.~
0800	ed	9b	сс	29	9e	c4	71	23	dc	28	1d	4f	14	55	bd	b4)q# .(.O.U
0090	06	b2	d1	da	bc	85	6d	c3	7e	17	50	32	78	82	8e	4d	m. ~.P2xM
00a0	b5	8f	f7	b2	82	a5	70	93	8 a	70	1c	7b	50	79	95	ad	pp.{Py
00a0	b5	8f	f7	b2	82	a5	70	93	8a	70	1c	7b	50	79	95	ad	pp.{Py

Observed ICMP DDoS Attack

R The picture can't be di

19// 2010-00-00 02:29:2/.032103	4.40	207	TCHE		request	10-001010, Seq-21233/10/	, LLL-SI (NO TESPONSE TOUND:	.,
1978 2016-08-06 02:29:27.855531	.7.82	. 207	ICMP	58 Echo (ping)	request	id=0xface, seq=56593/457	, ttl=125 (no response found	1!)
1979 2016-08-06 02:29:27.862470	26	. 207	ICMP	58 Echo (ping)	request	id=0xface, seq=56594/482), ttl=121 (no response found	(!!
1980 2016-08-06 02:29:27.879542	10	. 207	ICMP	58 Echo (ping)	request	id=0xface, seq=49688/633	3, ttl=119 (no response found	1i)
1981 2016-08-06 02:29:27.882637	178	. 207	ICMP	58 Echo (ping)	request	id=0xface, seq=49689/659	, ttl=123 (no response found	11)
Frame 1: 102 bytes on wire (816 b	its), 102 bytes captur	red (816 bits)						
Ethernet II, Src: CiscoInc_7d:b1:	ee (00:1f:ca:7d:b1:ee)	, Dst: Silicom_0e	:91:c0 (00:	e0:ed:0e:91:c0)				
▶ 802.10 Virtual LAN, PRI: 0, CFI:	0, ID: 198							
▶ Internet Protocol Version 4, Src:	.2, Dst:	207						
Internet Control Message Protocol								
Type: 8 (Echo (ping) request)								
Code: 0								
Checksum: 0x1ac9 [correct]								
Identifier (BE): 63552 (0xf840)	1							
Identifier (LE): 16632 (0x40f8)	1							
Sequence number (BE): 2 (0x0002	2)							
Sequence number (LE): 512 (0x02	200)							
▶ [No response seen]								
Timestamp from icmp data: Aug	6, 2016 02:35:22.3364	37000 BRT						
[Timestamp from icmp data (rela	ative): -373.813446000	seconds]						
Data (48 bytes)								

Observed Slowloris – HTTP slow request

The picture can't be d

123 2016-08-06 02:07:50.460324 124 2016-08-06 02:07:50.509434 125 2016-08-06 02:07:50.512161 126 2016-08-06 02:07:50.531826	163 163 163 163	207 H 207 H 207 H 207 H	TTP 62 TTP 62 TTP 62 TTP 62 TTP 62	Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation
 Frame 123: 62 bytes on wire (496 bits Ethernet II, Src: CiscoInc_e5:47:07 (Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: Transmission Control Protocol, Src Po Typertext Transfer Protocol), 62 bytes captured (4 64:12:25:e5:47:07), Ds1 163, Dst: rt: 57375 (57375), Dst	496 bits) t: ArborNet_a0:ca: .207 Port: 80 (80), Se	a0 (00:50:49 q: 166760094	:a0:ca:a0) 7, Ack: 1268671344, Len: 8
X-a: b\r\n				
			E X	nd-less http header -a: b

Attack Volume

The picture



DDoS Timeline – What's Next?

R The picture can't be di



Preparation, Coordination, Communication = Mitigation Success

- NetFlow telemetry used for detection/classification/traceback
- ACLs used to enforce reasonable network access policies at upstream overlay cloud MSSP
- Intelligent DDoS mitigation systems (IDMSes) used to handle in-profile attacks, application-layer attacks at both MSSP and transit ISPs
- Careful provisioning, timely communications about adds/moves/changes key to maintaining availability over the course of the attack campaign

Preparation, Coordination, Communication = Mitigation Success

- Constant, co-ordinated communications between all levels
 - Targeted organizations
 - Upstream transit ISPs
 - Cloud MSSP Mitigation Provider
- Well-rehearsed and -executed plan, lessons learned from ongoing attacks applied when volumes increased to 540gb/sec, changing attack vectors successfully detected/classified/mitigated, ongoing attention to service/app/content availability and responsiveness.
- The moral of the story—with preparation, planning, and attention to detail, defenders *can* mitigate the largest, most complex DDoS attacks!





Thank You!



Defending Olympus

Mitigating a Sustained 540gb/sec DDoS Attack Campaign

Tony Scheid <tscheid@arbor.net> Senior Consulting Engineer